

Chapter 26

Generative Artificial Intelligence: Opportunities, Challenges, and Considerations for Attorneys

*By Nathaniel F. Sussman**

I. SCOPE OF CHAPTER

§ 26:1 Opportunities and challenges

II. UNDERSTANDING GENERATIVE AI TECHNOLOGY

§ 26:2 What is “generative AI”?

§ 26:3 How does generative AI work?

III. OPPORTUNITIES WITH GENERATIVE AI

§ 26:4 The promising role of generative AI in law

§ 26:5 Legal research: Enhancing research processes by
summarizing and synthesizing law

§ 26:6 Drafting: Preparing initial drafts of contracts,
memoranda, briefs, and correspondence

§ 26:7 Data analysis: Reviewing vast quantities of digital files
and responding to queries about their contents

§ 26:8 Strategy and risk assessment: Acting as a thought
partner for attorneys

IV. FUNCTIONAL LIMITATIONS ON GENERATIVE AI

§ 26:9 Challenges can arise from how generative AI operates

§ 26:10 Knowledge gaps: AI programs are trained on differing

*The author sincerely thanks Gregory P. Stone, Miriam Kim, Iman Badri, Rose Leda Ehler, Zachary M. Briers, Rebecca Sciarrino, Gabriel M. Bronshteyn, Shannon Lex Bales, and Chloe Quast for their thoughtful review and contributions as this chapter was prepared and refined. It is a much better work product as a result of their involvement, and they serve as concrete evidence that generative AI tools are still far from replacing high-quality attorneys and legal professionals.

- datasets, some of which may be insufficient to address specific tasks
- § 26:11 Bias: AI responses may not be value-neutral as they can embody partiality that exists in training data or a model's algorithms
- § 26:12 Failure to capture nuance: AI may struggle to grasp certain elements of complex legal issues, contexts, or creative strategies
- § 26:13 Dependency on supervision: The promise of efficiency is not the promise of perfection, and there is an inherent need for attentiveness and rigorous legal review

V. ETHICAL, PROFESSIONAL, AND LEGAL LIMITATIONS ON GENERATIVE AI

- § 26:14 Improper use of generative AI can have ethical, professional, and legal consequences
- § 26:15 Confidentiality, attorney-client privilege, and work product doctrine: Safeguarding sensitive information and materials
- § 26:16 Candor: Disclosing the use of generative AI technology when appropriate
- § 26:17 Accuracy and accountability: Ensuring that work product generated with the help of AI is correct and compliant
- § 26:18 Ownership: Considering uncertainties relating to intellectual property

VI. IDEAS FOR INCORPORATING GENERATIVE AI INTO LEGAL WORK FLOWS

- § 26:19 Potentially helpful practices to balance opportunities and risks
- § 26:20 Selecting the tools: Evaluating available AI platforms based on functionality, accuracy, customizability, and security, among other factors
- § 26:21 Training the tools: Providing high-quality input data to improve the quality of output
- § 26:22 Training the users: Emphasizing the importance of having attorneys review, revise, and otherwise supervise AI-generated output, and identifying when AI use is altogether inappropriate
- § 26:23 Engaging with developments: Staying updated on AI news, adapting to changes in the technological and regulatory environments, and partnering with trained technology professionals for optimal and secure AI use
- § 26:24 Policy-making: Adopting organizational policies for the use of generative AI technology

VII. CONCLUSION

§ 26:25 Excitement and caution

I. SCOPE OF CHAPTER

§ 26:1 Opportunities and challenges

The rise of generative artificial intelligence (“AI”) may present some of the most significant and immediate implications, for the legal industry, of any technological advancement since mobile computing. On one hand, many of these implications are positive—the power, versatility, and creative potential of generative AI tools stand to improve legal services and work product across a wide range of areas including research, drafting, data analysis, and others. On the other hand, the functional limitations inherent to generative AI, and the rules that apply when it is used, present risks that need to be managed in order to deploy these tools effectively and responsibly. This chapter describes several of the most important opportunities and challenges currently associated with the use of generative AI tools in the legal industry, and suggests a few possible approaches that law firms and legal departments might take in their ongoing efforts to strike an acceptable balance between the benefits and risks. Although this chapter does not attempt to provide an exhaustive account of all the considerations that may be relevant to attorneys and legal professionals who work with generative AI tools, the goal is to provide a high-level “primer” to those who may not yet be familiar with this technology or some of the emerging rules, principles, and practices concerning its use.

II. UNDERSTANDING GENERATIVE AI TECHNOLOGY

§ 26:2 What is “generative AI”?

Recent events make it evident that generative AI technology represents a significant development in the field of artificial intelligence. But the precise definitions of “AI” and “generative AI” are subject to some debate, and their meanings can modulate depending on the context in which they are discussed. To understand the significance of generative AI and extrapolate the opportunities, limitations, and considerations it presents for attorneys and legal professionals, we first need to establish working definitions of “AI” and “generative AI” for purposes of this chapter.

“Intelligence” is generally characterized by the appearance of cognitive functions such as learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and decision-making. “Artificial intelligence,” or “AI,” refers to the simulation of human-like intelligence characteristics by